WOLFF LAW OFFICES, PLLC P.O. Box 9855 • Chapel Hill • NC 27515–9855 (919) 933 9684 (tel) (919) 933 9685 (fax)



RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 2 2008



☑ Urge	nt	☐ For Review	☐ Please Com	ment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle	
	for A	application No.: 10/6	57,189	· 			
Re:	Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief CC:						
Phonec	571-	272-3600		Date:	9/2/2008	· .	
Fax:	571-	-273-8300		Pages:	10 (includes cover)		
	Pate	ent Appeal Center S	pecialist				
To:	USF	PTO – Ms. Lorenda I	Hood,	From:	Kevin Alan Wolff		

This transmittal is intended only for the use of the individual, company, or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmittal is not the intended recipient, the employee of, or agent responsible for delivering the transmittal to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us by mail at the above address. Thank you.

Comments:

Please find enclosed the following documents to be filed for patent case 10/657,189:

- 1. Filing Record
- 2. Transmittal Form
- Cover Letter
- 4. 4 Replacement Pages for Appeal Brief filed July 28, 2008
- 5. Copy of Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Kevin Wolff

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 2 2008

WOLFF LAW OFFICES, PLLC - FILING RECORD

Attorney Docket No.:

Legare - PAUS0003

Today's Date:

September 2, 2008

Attorney:

Kevin A. Wolff

Fee Transmitted Herewith:

\$0

Serial No.:

10/657,189

Filing Date:

September 9, 2003

Title:

CONTROL METHODS FOR IMPROVED CATALYTIC

CONVERTER EFFICIENCY AND DIAGNOSIS

Inventors:

Joseph E. Legare

THE MAILING/TRANSMISSION HEREOF ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF:

DOCUMENTS BEING FILED:

- (1) Transmittal Form
- (2) Cover Letter
- (3) 4 Replacement Pages for Appeal Brief filed July 28, 2008
- (4) Copy of Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

09/02/2008 13:26

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 2 2008

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

WOLFF LAW OFFICES PL

Under the Paperwork F	Reduction Act of 1995, no porso	U.S ensiere required to respond to a	5. Patent and 1 collection of in	Approved for us Frademark Office formation tubless	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE it displays a valid OMB control number.		
		Application Number	10/657,18				
TRANS	MITTAL	Filing Date	Septembe	September 9, 2003 Joseph E. Legare			
FC	RM	First Named Inventor	Joseph E.				
		Art Unit	3748				
(to be used for all corres	spondence after Initial filing)	Examiner Name	Diem Tran	Diem Tran			
Total Number of Pages in	This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	Legare-P/	Legare-PAUS0003			
,	CNC	LOSURES (Check					
	ENC	LUSURES (Check	all that apply	<u> </u>	Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Transmittal F	orm	Drawing(s)			And Wallet Communication to 10		
Fee Attack	Fee Attached		icensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment/Reph	, I	Petition			eal Communication to TC eal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Pelitium to Convert to a			Proprietary information		
		Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocat					
<u> </u>		Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer	Address	Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify bolow): - Filing Record			
Extension of Time							
Express Abandoni	. []	Request for Refund		garding Response to Notification			
Information Disclo	sure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on (<u> </u>	- 4 Replace	f Non-Compliant Appeat Brief 4 Replacement Pages - Page 3 - 6 Copy of Notification		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks							
Reply to Missing F	Parts/						
Incomplete Applica	ation lissing Parts						
	OFR 1.52 or 1.53						
,	SIGNATURE	OF APPLICANT, ATTO	ORNEY, C	R AGENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Firm Name Wolff La	aw Offices, PLLC, P.O. Box	9855, Chapel Hill, NC 2751	5-9855 Ph.	919-933-9684			
Signature /Kevin Alan Wolff/ Suc-250							
Printed name Kevin Alan Wolff							
Date 9/2/2008		Reg. No. 42,23			33		
	CERTIFIC	CATE OF TRANSMISS	SION/MAI	LING	· `		
the date shown below:	rrespondence is being facsi dass mail in an envelope ad	mile transmitted to the USP dressed to: Commissioner for	TO or depos or Patents, P	ited with the Ui	nifed States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on		
Signature	/Kevin Alan Wolff/ 2	00 25gg					
Typed or printed name	Kevin Alan Wolff			Date	9/2/2008		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 0 2 2008

WOLFF LAW OFFICES, PLLC

North Carolina Office:

Virginia Office:

P.O. Box 9855 Chapel Hill, NC 27515-9855 11240 Waples Mill Road, Suite 300 Fairfax, VA 22030

Phone: 919-933-9684
Fax: 919-933-9685
Email: kwolff@wolff-law-offices.com
Web Site: wolff-law-offices.com

September 2, 2008 Via Facsimile

Lorenda Hood
Patent Appeal Center Specialist
Commissioner of Patents
USPTO
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Response to Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated August 14, 2008 Patent Appl. Serial No. 10/657,189

Dear Ms. Hood:

It was a pleasure talking with you today about the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief that was mailed by you on August 14, 2008 (copy attached) for U.S. Patent Appl. Serial No. 10/657,189. Thank you for your explanation and indication of the minor changes needed to the Summary of Claimed Subject Matter section (even though it was not noted on the February, 2008 Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief). I believe the changes may be helpful. Also, thank you for indicating again that the Grouping of Claims Section was not needed and could be deleted. I have now made the corrections regarding these two sections per our discussion.

Thus, per our discussion, I submit herewith amended pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 to replace pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 (4 pages) originally filed with the July 28, 2008 Appeal Brief (and Reinstatement of Appeal), to address the issues identified in the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated August 14, 2008. I believe that these changes now result in the Appeal Brief being compliant.

Thanks again for suggesting the changes.

Sincerely,

Kevin Alan Wolff Wolff Law Offices, PLLC P.O. Box 9855 Chapel Hill, NC 27515-9855

Attorney Docket No. Legare-PAUS0003 Appl. Ser. No. 10/657,189

- 6. Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844), in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172), and further in view of Fujimoto et al. (U.S. Patent 5.591,905).
- 7. Claim 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844), in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172), and further in view of Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,727,383).
- 8. Claims 32 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844), in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172), and further in view of Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,727,383).

As a result, claims 1-51 are pending in the application and the rejections of claims 1-9, 11-16, 18-20, 24-33, 36, 38-40, 43-46, 50 and 51 are presently being appealed.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Following the Final Office Action dated May 17, 2007, Appellant submitted a Response and Amendment on July 17, 2007 amending claims 1, 20, 24, 28, 29, 43, 50, to more clearly claim the invention and correct minor typographical errors noted by the Applicant. The Examiner issued an Advisory Action mail dated August 9, 2007 that refused to enter the Amendments filed on July 17, 2007 because they raise new issues that would require further consideration (to which the Applicant respectfully disagrees, but believes regardless all claims as presently pending in the form submitted herein are patentable). Therefore, the claims on Appeal are as filed on February 20, 2007 and are shown in the Appendix attached hereto.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Concerning independent claims 1, 20, 24, 28, 29, 43, 50, and 51, each of these independent claims and their dependent claims may be read on nearly all of the figures (FIGS. 1-8B), all of their respective reference characters, and the paragraphs of the specification in their entirety (e.g., the Detailed Description section at page 23, paragraph 32, through, page 103, paragraph 159) but are mostly directed to Figures 4A – 8B and the paragraphs in the

09/02/2008 13:26

Attorney Docket No. Legare-PAUS0003 Appl. Ser. No. 10/657,189

specification related thereto (e.g., the Detailed Description section at page 52, paragraph 80, through, page 103, paragraph 159). However, it should be noted that various elements and limitations of the independent claims also find support in the Summary section and the claims themselves.

The present claimed invention and patent application are primarily directed to two basic objectives; (1) to more quickly heat the catalyst after a cold start (a catalyst in this case may be, for example, a material (e.g. metal balls) housed in an automobile catalytic convert so that the catalyst is heated up quicker and help to more fully burn any unused fuel that is output by an engines cylinders, so as to reduce air pollution) of an internal combustion engine by more quickly heating the catalyst up to its activation and conversion temperature (used in, for example an automobile) so as to better diagnose the condition of the catalyst or to improve the initial pollution control performance of the system (e.g., to reduce air pollution emissions from automobiles) (e.g., independent claims 1, 20, and 50) and (2) to more easily and cost effectively diagnose the continued acceptable performance of the catalyst so as to, e.g., continue to reduce air pollution emissions from automobiles by quickly heating a catalyst (e.g., independent claims 29, 43, and 51), both, or a subset of both. As such, one approach of the invention provides various techniques for increasing the speed of heating a catalyst from an initial cold start condition (e.g., immediately after the automobile is started after sitting with the engine off for a long period of time) so that the better catalyst diagnosis may be performed and/or so that the catalyst is converting various gases more quickly. Another approach of the invention provides various techniques for easily, accurately, and cost effectively diagnosing the catalysts conversion capability during continuous engine operation so as to identify when the catalyst capability has degraded below a desired level of performance and needs to be changed. In various embodiments of the invention, the methods for accomplishing these objectives include the use of controlling the amount of gasoline injected into selected individual engine cylinders fuel (e.g., there are 4, 6, 8 or 12 engine cylinders in most automobiles) at particular times by individually controlling each of the fuel injectors connected to each of the individual engine cylinders.

With respect to catalyst diagnosis, one feature of the various embodiments of the Applicant's invention is providing a method for controlling individual engine cylinder's fuel injection quantities to affect an engine's exhaust gases' concentrations of gases, such as CO or oxygen, under steady state conditions during a controlled time period so as to cause catalyst

Attorney Docket No. Legare-PAUS0003 Appl. Ser. No. 10/657,189

heating. This feature can be accomplished by using the engine control unit (7) to control each fuel injector's (15) flow rate of fuel to selected individual or groupings of cylinders in order to cycle the selected individual cylinders' air-fuel conditions between predetermined rich and lean states. Figures 2 and 6 provide examples of selected individual engine cylinder control and the effect of cycling the fuel rate to selected groups of engine fuel injectors.

Another feature of the various embodiments of the Applicant's invention is providing a method for temporarily controlling defined changes of individual exhaust gases' concentrations to produce consistent catalyst temperature changes and allow diagnosis of catalytic converter malfunctions. Once again, the method of causing a change in steady state exhaust gas conditions is enabling of rich-lean cyclic fuel control that raises the levels of gases, such as CO and oxygen, at the catalyst surfaces. Engine control unit (7) may then determine whether the target catalytic converter (10) or (22) is malfunctioning by comparing changes between the first and second temperature characteristics as well as the first and second derivatives which comprise catalyst temperature profiles which may be compared to reference catalytic converters measured during similar steady state conditions representative of the two states.

With respect to cold start quick heating of the catalyst, another feature of the various embodiments of the Applicant's invention is to increase the rate of catalytic heating, particularly during open loop fuel control (although both during open and closed loop fuel control are possible as disclosed), at the earliest time when a catalyst's temperature is sufficient to allow sustainable exothermic reactions at portions of its active surfaces. This may also be used in determining the efficiency of the catalyst.

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

- Whether claims 1, 2, 7-9, 11-16, 18, 20, 29-31, 36, 38-40 and 43-46 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844) in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172).
- 2. Whether claims 24-26 and 28 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fujimoto et al. (U.S. Patent 5,591,905) in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172).

Attorney Docket No. Legare-PAUS0003 Appl. Ser. No. 10/657,189

- 3. Whether claims 3-6 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844) in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172) and Holl (U.S. Patent 3,785,151).
- 4. Whether claim 19 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844) in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172) and Fujimoto et al. (U.S. Patent 5,591,905).
- 5. Whether claim 27 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844) in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172) and Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,727,383).
- 6. Whether claims 32 and 33 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maus et al. (U.S. Patent 5,610,844), in view of Pelters et al. (U.S. Paten 5,435,172), and further in view of Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,727,383).
- 7. Whether claim 50 is anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,727,383).
- 8. Whether claim 51 is anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Pelters et al. (U.S. Patent 5,435,172).

ARGUMENT

As a general matter, none of the cited and applied references presented by the Examiner can anticipate or render obvious any of the pending claims because they fail to disclose, teach, or suggest each and every limitation of the claims. None of the cited and applied references disclose, teach or suggest a method or system that can and does control conditions of "selected individual engine cylinders." Nor doe the Examiner provide any reasoning for modifying the disclosure of the references to do so. In particular, none of the cited and references have the hardware or software capable of controlling the conditions (e.g., amount of fuel or gas concentration) of "selected individual engine cylinders" as stated in the limitations of all of independent claims 1, 20, 24, 28, 29, and 43 (claims 50 and 51 will be discussed below). There are three primary inputs that can be controlled in an engine to achieve controlling the conditions of "selected engine cylinders" exhaust gases; controlling the amount of engine inlet air, controlling the amount of fuel, or controlling the timing or extent of spark to ignite the air and



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boa 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,189	09/09/2003	Joseph E. Legare	Legare-PAUS0003	1625		
	590 08/14/2008 V OFFICE, PLLC			EXAMINER		
WOLFF LAW OFFICE, PLLC P.O. BOX 9855 CHAPEL HILL, NC 27515-9855		RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHAILE	, 110 21010 7000	CENTRAL FAX CEN	TER	В		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FLORIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

09/02/2008 13:26 9199339685

. . . .

WOLFF LAW OFFICES PL

SEP 0 2 2008 PAGE 10/10

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief		10/657,189	LEGARE, JOSEI	PH E.		
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	TRAN, DIEM	3748			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress		
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>July 28, 2008</u> is defective for					
1205.03	To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1. 🖾	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🖾	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🔲	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 🗀	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8. 🗀	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	ne brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding entified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR I.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				
	Item 1. The "Grouping of the Claims" heading should Item 4. The claimed invention fails to argue each inc page and line number and to the drawings, if any.	dependent claim separately, which sh	Medit refer to the specific to	vel		